

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/780,532	02/09/2001	Clive Wood	GNN-012CP	8383	
7590 09/19/2006  Ivor R. Elrifi  MINTZ LEVIN COHEN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC  One Financial Center  Boston, MA 02111			EXAMINER		
			QIAN, CELINE X		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636		
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/780,532	WOOD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Celine X. Qian Ph.D.	1636		

	Celine X. Qian Ph.D.	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL		<b></b>				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		12 50.011),				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			Ç			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,3,5-8,39-43 and 45-65</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	at be after a contract the section of Classes At	- P <b>- C A</b> 1 - 20				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	•	, , ,	•			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
		Celine X Qian Ph.E Examiner	).			

Art Unit: 1636

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment introduces new limitation to the claims. The new limitation changes the scope of the claim because the host cell is now limited to those that have endogenous TRADE activity. As such, a new search and further consideration is required. Moreover, the proposed amendment presents additional new claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. Therefore, the amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the request for reconsideration has been reviewed. However, since the arguments are all directed to amended claims, they are most because the proposed amendment is not entered (for reasons given above).

CELINE O'AN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER